# RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Comparative analysis of buffalo and cow milk for quality characteristics and $\beta$ -N-acetyl-glucosaminidase activity in non-infected animals

Razia Kausar<sup>1</sup>, Amjad Hameed<sup>2</sup>, Huma Jamil<sup>3</sup>, Zafar Iqbal<sup>4</sup>, Sami-Ullah Khan Bahadur<sup>5</sup>, Junaid Jabbar<sup>1,6</sup>, Aimen Sabir<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Anatomy, FVS, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan, <sup>2</sup>Nuclear Institute of Agriculture and Biology, Jhang Road, Faisalabad, Pakistan, <sup>3</sup>Department of Theriogenology, FVS, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan., <sup>4</sup>Department of Biomedical Science, City University, Hong Kong, <sup>5</sup>Department of Pathology, FVS, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan., <sup>6</sup>Livestock and Dairy Development Department, Punjab, Pakistan.

# ABSTRACT

In Pakistan, milk quality control standards are yet to be established. The prime objective of this study was to develop the standard reference values for the milk pH, its somatic cell count (SCC), electric conductivity (EC) and NAGase i.e., milk enzyme in the noninfected milk of local buffalo breed i.e., Nilli-Ravi also known as Black-gold of Pakistan and cows i.e., Sahiwal and other crossbred cows kept locally mostly for milch purpose. A total of 30 animals with lactation number 1-5 (in early lactation of two months) from each breed group were selected for milk samples. Samples were then tested for mastitis through Surf Field Mastitis test and microbiological culturing. Reference values were determined using negative samples. Fore-mentioned parameters were analyzed for collected Milk samples. The values for NAGase and SCC were the most eminent in the crossbred cow's milk i.e.,  $(56.07 \pm 2.33 \text{ U/mL}) \& (178645.83 \pm 2324.0/\text{mL})$  respectively. EC value  $(5.7 \pm 0.04)$  was strikingly high in Sahiwal cow's milk. Milk of Nilli-Ravi was found superior in quality, based on its lower values of SSC, EC and NAGase. Mean values of each parameter were also compared among different quarters and found to be statistically similar. In conclusion, milk of Nilli-Ravi was found superior in quality and current findings can be used to provide base line information for NAGase and other components of milk quality and udder health for above mentioned dairy types.

Keywords:  $\beta$ -N-acetyl-glucosaminidase; cattle; cow; electric conductivity; pH; somatic cell count

# INTRODUCTION

Pakistan is among the top countries known for high milk production. During 2019-20, milk production in Pakistan is estimated to be 61 million tons out of which 22 and 37 million is shared by cow and buffalo. More than 8.5 million population of Pakistan is involved in livestock farming (GOP, 2020). Despite in top milk producing countries, Pakistan lacks behind in milk quality. The Pakistan Standard Quality Control Authority (PSQCA, www.psqca. com.pk), is the official controlling authority for quality regulation of milk and other edible items. Parameters like somatic cell count (SCC), electrical conductivity (EC), pH and  $\beta$ -N-acetyl-glucosaminidase (NAGase) are being used globally to assess the milk quality (Hovinen et al., 2016). PSQCA is yet to establish the standards for milk quality in Pakistan. SCC is a fundamental parameter, mostly used for milk quality within dairy sector globally. It is the most common trait kept under consideration for selection and breeding programs although it has 0.04-0.17 range of heritability (Boas et al., 2017). SCC number affects the milk quality and is a basic parameter for mastitis diagnosis at subclinical stage (Feng et al., 2020) Uninfected cow milk has normal SCC value of about 15000 to 100,000 cells/ml (Kaşikçi et al., 2012; Skrzypek et al., 2004) while the European Community consider 4,00,000 cells/ml as a standard in milk (Directives 85/397 and 92/46/ECC).

pH of milk is another import parameter, that is used as a reference value to determine its quality. Normal values of pH are reported as 6.53 - 7.00, 6.59 - 6.67, 6.48 - 6.64 and 6.55 - 6.68 for buffalo, cow, goat, and sheep respectively (Mahmood and Usman, 2010). On the other hand, electrical

Razia Kausar, Department of Anatomy, FVS, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan. E-mail: razia\_uaf@hotmail.com

Received: 14 July 2022; Accepted: 11 February 2023

\*Corresponding author:

conductivity of uninfected quarters was reported from 4.0 to 5.0 mS in uninfected quarters with a temperature of 25°C (Wong, 1988). Higher EC and pH of milk were observed by Qayyum et al., (2016) having mastitis which may be due to mineral content alteration in infected milk and higher leucocyte count respectively.

The milk comprises of several enzymes. Milk along with serum and other body fluids contain a lysosomal enzyme,  $\beta$ -N-acetyl-glucosaminidase (NAGase; EC 3.2.1.30) (Kankofer et al., 2010; Leitner et al., 2001). In case of clinical mastitis, NAGase activity is usually increased or just reach up to its topmost value (Fitz-Gerald et al., 1981; Leitner et al., 2003). To assess the level of mastitis, the NAGase assay act as a quick and reliable method in the beginning of infection (Chagunda et al., 2006; Kitchen et al., 1984). Recent studies shows that in bovine milk having mastitis, the NAGase activity and acute phase proteins (APP) level are directly associated with bacterial DNA in samples under test with quantitative PCR (Kalmus et al., 2013).

In Pakistan, absence for such reference values forced the need for this project to aim at standardizing reference values for milk obtained from healthy buffalo (Nilli Ravi) and cows (Sahiwal and cross breeds).

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study was led on lactating animals present at local commercial dairy farms in and around Faisalabad city. A sampling of milk was done from only animals with lactation no. 1-5 of their early lactation of 2 months. Information regarding animals and farm/surroundings was collected on specially designed proforma.

Screening and confirmation of mastitis negative status

Initially, screening of samples was done with the help of California Mastitis Test (CMT) stated by (Schalm et al., 1971) & Surf-Field Mastitis Test (SFMT) as described by (Muhammad et al., 2010). Foremilk samples from duplicate quarter were tested microbiologically (Pantoja et al., 2009) for added determination and confirmation of any infections in udder (Hogan et al., 1999). Reference values were established using negative samples only.

#### Analysis of milk samples

Parameters like NAGase activity, pH, SCC, and EC were investigated as per methods indicated against the respective parameter below.

#### Somatic cell counts (SCC)

SCC was performed by the previously described methods (Schalm et al., 1971; Singh and Ludri, 2001; Singh and Shailja, 2002). Briefly, milk samples of 10  $\mu$ L were

was marked on the reverse side with the help of a plastic stencil and a fine tipped marker. A fine film of milk was shaped with in an area specified by template. Each milk sample was utilized to prepare two films using successive areas of the slide. The films were laid on a flat surface to dry at room temperature while protecting them from dust and flies. The purpose of removing fat globules was fulfilled by dipping slides in xylene for 1-2 minutes. Slides were dried before and after stained for 15 minutes with Newman-Lampert's stain. The slides were dipped in the Quick Dip stain number 3 for counter staining (Jorgensen Labs, USA). Extra stain was washed using distilled water and drying was permitted at room temperature. The counting of somatic cells was done using the microscope by magnifying the image up to 1000 times in 50 fields. This count was multiplied with microscopic factor to get the cells per mL of milk (Reneau, 1986).

transferred over to 1 cm<sup>2</sup> extent of a glass-slide which

#### pH and electrical conductivity (EC) of milk

pH meter (JENCO, USA Model No. PH6173-R) was used to measure pH of milk samples. Electrical conductivity of the milk was measured by using the electrical conductivity meter (SensoDirect Con200, Germany).

#### **Estimation of milk enzymes** *NAGase activity analysis*

The NAGase activity was analyzed in collaboration with the MAB Lab-I located in the Nuclear Institute for Agriculture and Biology (NIAB), Faisalabad. NAGase activity was investigated using the method described by Koldovský and Palmieri, (1971). For its assessment, A 150 µl milk sample was mixed with 300  $\mu$ l solution A (prepared by sonicating 82.2 mg "substrate i.e., p-nitrophenyl-2-acetamido-2 deoxyp-d-gluco-pyranoside" in 18 ml 1.7 mM Na citrate buffer with 2 ml Triton X-100 and 20 mg BSA) and then incubated for 20 minutes at 37°C. The reaction was stopped by adding up 450 µl of cold 3.3 % trichloroacetic acid (TCA) solution and then centrifugation of samples was done at 13,000 rpm for up to 10 minutes. After that 0.6 ml of the supernatant was mixed with 300  $\mu$ l solution B which was a mixture (1:1) of 0.5 M NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and 0.5 M Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) and vortexed for 2-3min. The absorbance of sample was measured at 412 nm for quantification of para-nitrophenol produced by NAGase during the assay using a double beam spectrophotometer (U-2800, Hitachi, Japan). A standard curve was prepared using different standards (05-50 nM with 05 nM interval and 50-100 nM with 10 nM interval) of para-nitrophenol. A linear regression equation was derived from the para-nitrophenol standard curve (Figure 1). NAGase activity in milk samples was calculated from the linear regression equation. In this procedure, one unit is the sum of enzyme which produces one nM p-nitrophenol per minute under typical assay conditions. The activity of the enzyme was indicated in units/ml of milk.

#### Statistical analysis

All parameters of samples were evaluated in triplicates and with the help of descriptive statistics resulting data was analyzed and organized. Data significance was tested by evaluating variances and Tukey's HSD (honestly significant difference) test with a confidence level (p<0.05) using XL-STAT software Version 2012.1.02, Copyright Addinsoft 1995-2012. Values are presented as mean  $\pm$  SE in the graphs.

## RESULTS

#### Somatic cell count of milk

A statistically significant difference (p<0.05) has been observed among Milk SCC values of different all breeds under study (Table 1). As it is evident from these depictions that the SCC count of cross-bred cows as found highest while Nilli-Ravi buffaloes presented lowest value of SCC in their milk with mean value of SCC of 135916.78±4420.5mL<sup>-1</sup>, and minimum & maximum value of 75 x10<sup>3</sup> mL<sup>-1</sup> and 190 x10<sup>3</sup> mL<sup>-1</sup> respectively. For Sahiwal cow, 101 x10<sup>3</sup> mL<sup>-1</sup> was observed as minimum value and 199 x10<sup>3</sup> mL<sup>-1</sup> maximum value having 161608.70±3698.8 mL<sup>-1</sup> mean value. In case of cross-bred cows, 145 x10<sup>3</sup> mL<sup>-1</sup> was observed as minimum value and maximum value was found to be 210 x10<sup>3</sup> mL<sup>-1</sup> with 178645.83±2324.0 mL<sup>-1</sup>mean value observed.

#### **Electrical conductivity**

Among all groups of buffaloes and cows a significant difference (p<0.05) has been detected in their milk samples



Fig 1. Standard curve for estimation of NAGase activity

Table 1: Values of somatic cell counts (SCC) of milk from
uninfected mammary glands of Nilli-Ravi buffaloes, Sahiwal
and cross-bred cows

Species/Cattle	Milk SCC/mL			
breeds	Minimum	Maximum	Mean±SEM	
Nilli-Ravi buffaloes	75000	190000	135916.78±4420.5°	
Sahiwal cows	101000	199000	161608.70±3698.8b	
Cross-bred cows	145000	201000	178645.83±2324.0ª	

Values followed by different superscript letter differ significantly (p<0.05).

with reference to Electrical conductivity (EC) values (Table 2). The EC of Sahiwal cows was observed highest, and the lowest value was of Nilli-Ravi buffaloes.

The minimum value of EC was recorded as 3.3 mS/cm, 5.2 mS/cm, 5.1 mS/cm and maximum values as 5.0 mS/cm, 6.1 mS/cm, 5.6 mS/cm with means values of  $4.55\pm0.05 \text{ mS/cm}$ ,  $5.70\pm0.035 \text{ mS/cm}$ , and  $5.37\pm0.018 \text{ mS/cm}$  in the milk of Nilli-Ravi buffaloes, Sahiwal cows and cross-bred cows, respectively.

#### Milk pH

The pH of the milch breed's milk under study differed nonsignificantly (Table 3). The mean values of milk pH were recorded as  $6.56\pm0.02$  for Nilli-Ravi buffalo,  $6.61\pm0.02$ for Sahiwal cow and  $6.60\pm0.02$  for cross-bred cow's milk. Slightly acidic milk pH was observed in uninfected mammary glands of all three milch breeds (buffalo/cattle) under testing.

#### **NAGase activity**

It is the very first time that NAGase activity in the milk of under studied animal groups is being studied and results are depicted in (Table 4). More eminent (p<0.05) NAGase activity level was observed in crossbred cows in contrast to purebred buffalo (Nilli-Ravi) and purebred cattle (Sahiwal). However, non-significant relation was

Table 2: Electrical conductivity (EC) values of milk from uninfected mammary glands of Nilli-Ravi buffaloes, Sahiwal and cross-bred cows

Species/Cattle	Milk electrical conductivity (mS/cm)			
breeds	Minimum	Maximum	Mean±SEM	
Nilli-Ravi buffaloes	3.300	5.000	4.55±0.05°	
Sahiwal cows	5.200	6.100	5.70±0.035ª	
Cross-bred cows	5.100	5.600	5.37±0.018 <sup>b</sup>	

Values followed by different superscript letter differ significantly (p<0.05)

# Table 3: Values of pH of milk obtained from uninfected mammary glands of Nilli-Ravi buffaloes, Sahiwal and cross-bred cows

Species/Cattle breeds	Milk pH			
	Minimum	Maximum	Mean±SEM	
Nilli-Ravi buffaloes	6.000	6.900	6.56±0.02ª	
Sahiwal cows	6.200	6.900	6.61±0.02ª	
Cross-bred cows	6.060	6.900	6.60±0.02ª	

Values followed by different superscript letter differ significantly (p<0.05).

# Table 4: N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase (NAGase) activity in milk samples obtained from uninfected mammary glands of Nilli-Ravi buffaloes, Sahiwal and cross-bred cows

Species/Cattle breeds	NAGase activity (units/mL)			
	Minimum	Maximum	Mean±SEM	
Nilli-Ravi buffaloes	39.778	55.111	46.93±0.66 <sup>b</sup>	
Sahiwal cows	30.111	78.333	46.43±2.12 <sup>b</sup>	
Cross-bred cows	34.556	84.000	56.07±2.33ª	

Values followed by different superscript letter differ significantly (p<0.05).



Fig 2.





observed between purebred buffalo and cattle in case of the mean milk NAGase activity. The minimum values were observed as 39.778 units/mL, 30.11 units/mL, 30.11 units/mL, and maximum values as 55.111 units/mL, 78.33 units/mL, 84.00 units/mL with mean values of 46.93±0.66, 46.43±2.12 and 56.07±2.33units/mL in the milk samples of buffaloes (Nilli-Ravi) and cows (Sahiwal and cross-bred cows), respectively.

#### Milk parameters in relation to milk quarters

All milk parameters were studied in relation to front and back milk quarters (right and left both) to check if they have any effect in all three groups of animals. For this objective, mean values of the parameters were assessed between distinct quarters and were found to be statistically similar for different quarters in all groups (Figure 4). A slight but non-significant variation was observed for SCC among different quarters.

#### DISCUSSION

Globally, dairy processing industry uses somatic cell count (SCC) as one of the key parameters and foundations to check for milk quality. The SCC is an important parameter for examining subclinical mastitis which affects the milk quality (Harmon, 1994; Silanikove et al., 2014) and play









its role for diagnosis of mastitis even at subclinical level (Berchtold et al., 2014). Milk is thought to be free from any intramammary infection if commonly considered threshold level of 200,000 cells/mL somatic cell count is observed. However, this threshold value of SCC is not an absolute number (Dohoo, 2001).

For warm tropical and subtropical developing countries like Pakistan, the doorstep threshold value of  $3.5 \times 10^5$  cells/mL of SCC has been recommended by the International Dairy Federation, (1990) for milk quality and udder health. Ogola et al., (2007) proposed mean value of  $5.46 \times 10^5$  cells/mL as a threshold limit for SCC of bovine milk in African countries. SCC values of all three under study dairy groups varied significantly (p<0.05) with minimum values of 75 x 10<sup>3</sup>, 101 x 10<sup>3</sup> mL<sup>-1</sup>, 145 x 10<sup>3</sup> mL<sup>-1</sup>, maximum values of  $190 \ge 10^3 \text{ mL}^{-1}$ ,  $199 \ge 10^3 \text{ mL}^{-1}$ ,  $210 \ge 10^3 \text{ mL}^{-1}$  and the mean values of 135916.78±4420.5 mL<sup>-1</sup>,161608.70±3698.8 mL<sup>-1</sup>, 178645.83±2324.0 mL<sup>-1</sup> for all under study milch breeds of buffalo i.e., Nilli-Ravi and cows i.e., Sahiwal and crossbred cows, respectively. A fresher report suggests that 100,000 cells/mL is the cut off value for SCC in individual quarter (Pilla et al., 2012). According to (Skrzypek et al., 2004) and Kaşikçi et al., (2012) udder may be considered healthy and infection free if its SCC ranges between 50,000 to 100,000 cells/mL. Alternatively, The European Community suggests a SCC of 400,000 cells/mL, a standard for normal milk (Directives 85/397 and 92/46/ECC). On the contrary, markets of the most European countries lack the mandate for premium milk products having SCC value of milk lower or mostly around 200,000 cells/mL. Austria's small dairy herds produces the best milk with a SCC of marginally higher than 100,000 cells/mL. Likewise, countries like United Kingdom, Sweden, Germany, and Netherland are producing good quality milk with average SCC of 200,000 cells/mL (Hillerton and Berry, 2004). Forementioned values for SCC of currently tested species/ cattle breeds lie within the standards of the European Community (EC). Lowest SCC of Nilli-Ravi buffalo milk shows its superiority over other members under study.

Milk constitutes of charged compounds, specifically mineral salts which gives it conductive properties. Ions like sodium and chloride determines it electrical conductivity (Fernando et al., 1982; Mabrook and Petty, 2003). A significant (p < 0.05) relation was observed in electrical conductivity of milk among under study dairy groups with mean values of 4.55±0.05 mS/cm, 5.70±0.035 mS/cm and  $5.37\pm0.018$  mS/cm which can also be referred as standard values in buffalo i.e., Nilli-Ravi and cows i.e., Sahiwal and cross-bred cows, respectively. Lowest EC value makes Nilli-Ravi buffalo milk superior to others comparatively. EC is affected by any decrease in fat content or change in its pH also EC and milk SCC has a high correlation in the foremilk (Pyorala, 2003). Hamann et al., (2010) reported previously that the mean EC of 3.58 mS/cm in a bulk tank of milk. Present-day study revealed higher electrical conductivity than those described by these workers which may be due to the fact of variation in milk types used for respective studies i.e., milk samples of individual quarters of understudy breeds for this study while milk from containers was used by these workers as a reference for their study. Corresponding to Wong (1988), EC of uninfected quarter's milk (normal milk) should range between 4.0-5.0 mS/cm at 25°C temperature. In presentday study, the pH values varied non-significantly (p>0.05) among tested group of milch breeds of Pakistan while still ranging close to those of reported earlier i.e., 6.53-7.00, 6.59-6.67, 6.48-6.64 and 6.55-6.68 for the buffalo, cow, goat, and sheep milk respectively (Mahmood and Usman, 2010).

The lysosomal enzyme of milk, blood serum and other body fluids is N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase (NAGase; EC 3.2.1.30) (Fox et al., 1985; Kitchen et al., 1978; Leitner et al., 2001). In inflamed udder (Mastitis), its concentration increases with the degree of inflammation but is relative to infection causing agents i.e., bacterial spp. (Kalmus et al., 2013). In current study, no significant variation was found in the means of NAGase activity in milk of Nilli-Ravi buffaloes and Sahiwal cows. Therefore, we can assume that the Nilli-Ravi buffaloes and Sahiwal cows have superiority in milk quality over cross-bred cows due to their lower NAGase activity. For detection of clinical and even subclinical mastitis, NAGase activity can be utilized with a high level of precision in cows (Hovinen et al., 2016). In current studies, the mean values of 46.93±0.66, 46.43±2.12 and 56.07±2.33 units/mL were documented for NAGase activity in the milk of Nilli-Ravi buffaloes, Sahiwal, and cross-bred cows, respectively (Table 4). Leitner et al., (2003) reported 22.9  $\pm$ 1.5 units/mL as a value for NAGase activity in uninfected sheep milk. Established on the results of the present-day study, a standard value of 0.1-1.04 pmoles  $4-MU/min/\mu L$ for normal milk NAGase activity in cows with  $\geq$ 30 DIM (196 samples) could be suggested. The previous conclusion that there was no significant variation in NAGase activity of all four quarters after parturition (Kankofer et al., 2010) was supported by the interpretations of the present study.

### CONCLUSION

It can be concluded, NAGase activity and somatic cell count were the most eminent in the milk of crossbred cows while EC values were most eminent in Sahiwal cow's milk. Superior quality milk was obtained from the Nilli-Ravi buffaloes due to the lower values of SCC, EC, and NAGase activity. However, a model study by the PSQC authority is mandatory for added validation of current findings and utilization of generated data for determining the milk quality standards in Pakistan.

# **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declared that the present study was performed in absence of any conflict of interest.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The paper is part of PhD thesis of first author Razia Kausar.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Razia Kausar contributed to basic idea, experimental design and execution, sample collection, analysis and writeup of paper. Amjad Hameed contributed to biochemical analysis of samples, data analysis and writeup and revision of manuscript. All other authors contributed in writeup and revision of the paper.

# REFERENCES

- Berchtold, B., M. Bodmer, B. H. P. van den Borne, M. Reist, H. U. Graber, A. Steiner, R. Boss and F. Wohlfender. 2014. Genotypespecific risk factors for *Staphylococcus aureus* in Swiss dairy herds with an elevated yield-corrected herd somatic cell count. J. Dairy Sci. 97: 4886-4896.
- Boas, D. F. V., A. E. V. Filho, M. A. Pereira, L. C. R. Junior and L. El Faro. 2017. Association between electrical conductivity and milk production traits in dairy Gyr cows. J. Appl. Anim. Res. 45: 227-233.
- Chagunda, M. G. G., T. Larsen, M. Bjerring and K. L. Ingvartsen. 2006. L-lactate dehydrogenase and N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase activities in bovine milk as indicators of non-specific mastitis. J. Dairy Res. 73: 431-440.
- Dohoo, I. 2001. Setting SCC Cutpoints for Cow and Herd Interpretation. In: Annual Meeting-National Mastitis Council Incorporated. pp. 10-18.
- Federation, I. D. 1990. Handbook on Milk Collection in Warm Developing Countries. International Dairy Federation. Brussels, Belgium.
- Feng, L., C. Yuxia, W. Zichen, L. Zipeng, M. J. Ahmad, L. Ming, G. Tengyun and L. Shenhe. 2020. The effect of exogenous melatonin on milk somatic cell count in buffalo. Pak. Vet. J. 41: 152-155.
- Fernando, R. S., R. B. Rindsig and S. L. Spahr. 1982. Electrical conductivity of milk for detection of mastitis. J. Dairy Sci. 65: 659-664.
- Fitz-Gerald, C. H., H. C. Deeth and B. J. Kitchen. 1981. The relationship between the levels of free fatty acids, lipoprotein lipase, carboxylesterase, N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase, somatic cell count and other mastitis indices in bovine milk. J. Dairy Res. 48: 253-265.
- Fox, L. K., G. E. Shook and L. H. Schultz. 1985. Factors related to milk loss in quarters with low somatic cell counts. J. Dairy Sci. 68: 2100-2107.
- GOP. 2020. Pakistan Economic Survey 2019-20. Finance and Economic Affairs Division, Ministry of Finance, Government of Pakistan, Islamabad, Pakistan. p.37-38.
- Hamann, J., O. Lind and B. K. Bansal. 2010. Determination of onfarm direct cell count and biochemical composition of milk in buffaloes. Rev. Vet. 21: 541-542.
- Harmon, R. J. 1994. Physiology of mastitis and factors affecting somatic cell counts. J. Dairy Sci. 77: 2103-2112.
- Hillerton, J. E. and E. A. Berry. 2004. Quality of the Milk Supply: European Regulations Versus Practice. In: NMC Annual Meeting Proceedings. p.207-214.
- Hogan, J. S., R. N. Gonzalez, R. J. Harmon, S. C. Nickerson, S. P. Oliver, J. W. Pankey and K. L Smith. 1999. Laboratory Handbook on Bovine Mastitis. National Mastitis Council Inc., Madison WI.
- Hovinen, M., H. Simojoki, R. Pösö, J. Suolaniemi, P. Kalmus, L. Suojala and S. Pyörälä. 2016. N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase

activity in cow milk as an indicator of mastitis. J. Dairy Res. 83: 219-227.

- Kalmus, P., H. Simojoki, S. Pyörälä, S. Taponen, J. Holopainen and T. Orro. 2013. Milk haptoglobin, milk amyloid A, and N-acetylβ-d-glucosaminidase activity in bovines with naturally occurring clinical mastitis diagnosed with a quantitative PCR test. J. Dairy Sci. 96: 3662-3670.
- Kankofer, M., E. Albera and M. Rózańska-Boczula. 2010. Activities of N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase and glutathione peroxidase in bovine colostrum and milk. Czech J. Anim. Sci. 55: 488-495.
- Kaşikçi, G., O. Çetin, E. B. Bingöl and M. C. Gündüz. 2012. Relations between electrical conductivity, somatic cell count, California mastitis test and some quality parameters in the diagnosis of subclinical mastitis in dairy cows. Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci. 36: 49-55.
- Kitchen, B. J., G. Middleton and M. Salmon. 1978. Bovine milk AT-acetyl-y?-D-glucosaminidase and its significance in the detection of abnormal udder secretions. J. Dairy Res. 45: 15-20.
- Kitchen, B. J., W. S. Kwee, G. Middleton and R. J. Andrews. 1984. Relationship between the level of N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase (NAGase) in bovine milk and the presence of mastitis pathogens. J. Dairy Res. 51: 11-16.
- Koldovský, O. and M. Palmieri. 1971. Cortisone-evoked decrease of acid -galactosidase, -glucuronidase, N-acetyl--glucosaminidase and arylsulphatase in the ileum of suckling rats. Biochem. J. 125: 697-701.
- Leitner, G., M. Chaffer, S. Zamir, T. Mor, A. Glickman, M. Winkler, L. Weisblit and A. Saran. 2001. Udder disease etiology, milk somatic cell counts and NAGase activity in Israeli Assaf sheep throughout lactation. Small Ruminant Res. 39: 107-112.
- Leitner, G., M. Chaffer, Y. Caraso, E. Ezra, D. Kababea, M. Winkler, A. Glickman and A. Saran. 2003. Udder infection and milk somatic cell count, NAGase activity and milk composition-fat, protein and lactose-N Israeli-Assaf and Awassi sheep. Small Ruminant Res. 49: 157-164.
- Mabrook, M. F. and M. C. Petty. 2003. Effect of composition on the electrical conductance of milk. J. Food Eng. 60: 321-325.
- Mahmood, A. and S. Usman. 2010. A comparative study on the physicochemical parameters of milk samples collected from buffalo, cow, goat and sheep of Gujrat, Pakistan. Pak. J. Nutr. 9: 1192-1197.
- Muhammad, G., A. Naureen, M. N. Asi, M. Saqib and Fazal-ur-Rehman. 2010. Evaluation of a 3% surf solution (surf field mastitis test) for the diagnosis of subclinical bovine and bubaline mastitis. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 42: 457-464.
- Ogola, H., A. Shitandi and J. Nanua. 2007. Effect of mastitis on raw milk compositional quality. J. Vet. Sci. 8: 237-242.
- Pantoja, J. C. F., C. Hulland and P. L. Ruegg. 2009. Somatic cell count status across the dry period as a risk factor for the development of clinical mastitis in the subsequent lactation. J. Dairy Sci. 92: 139-148.
- Pilla, R., D. Schwarz, S. König and R. Piccinini. 2012. Microscopic differential cell counting to identify inflammatory reactions in dairy cow quarter milk samples. J. Dairy Sci. 95: 4410-4420.
- Pyorala, S. 2003. Serum protein response and renal failure in canine *Babesia annae* infection. Vet. Res. 34: 565-578.
- Qayyum, A., J. A. Khan, R. Hussain, M. Avais, N. Ahmad and M. S. Khan. 2016. Investigation of milk and blood serum biochemical profile as an indicator of sub-clinical mastitis in cholistani cattle. Pak. Vet. J. 36: 275-279.
- Reneau, J. K. 1986. Effective use of dairy herd improvement somatic cell counts in mastitis control. J. Dairy Sci. 69: 1708-1720.

- Schalm, O. W., E. J. Carroll and N. C. J. Jain. 1971. Bovine mastitis. In: Bovine Mastitis. A Symposium. Lea & Febiger, Philadelphia (PA). pp. 1-360.
- Silanikove, N., U. Merin and G. Leitner. 2014. On effects of subclinical mastitis and stage of lactation on milk quality in goats. Small Ruminant Res. 122: 76-82.
- Singh, M. and R. S. Ludri. 2001. Somatic cell counts in marrah buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis*) during different stages of lactation, parity and season. Asian Aust. J. Anim. Sci. 14: 189-192.
- Singh, M. and Shailja. 2002. Post milking teat dip effect on somatic cell count, milk production and composition in cows and buffaloes. Asian Aust. J. Anim. Sci. 15: 1517-1522.
- Skrzypek, R., J. Wójtowski and R. D. Fahr. 2004. Factors affecting somatic cell count in cow bulk tank milk-A case study from Poland. J. Vet. Med. Series A. 51: 127-131.
- Wong, N. P. 1988. Physical properties of milk. In: Wong, N. P. (eds), Fundamentals of Dairy Chemistry. Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York.